KENDALL COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Kendall County Office Building Rooms 209 and 210 111 W. Fox Street, Yorkville, Illinois

Approved - Meeting Minutes of October 23, 2024 - 7:00 p.m.

Chairman Bill Ashton called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: Bill Ashton, Eric Bernacki, Tom Casey, Dave Hamman, Keith Landovitz, Karin McCarthy-

Lange, Ruben Rodriguez, Claire Wilson, and Seth Wormley

Members Absent: Bob Stewart

Staff Present: Matthew H. Asselmeier, Director, and Wanda A. Rolf, Office Assistant

Others Present: Dan Kramer and Peter Pasteris

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Member Rodriguez made a motion, seconded by Member Landovitz, to approve the agenda. With a voice vote of nine (9) ayes, the motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Member McCarthy-Lange made a motion, seconded by Member Landovitz, to approve the minutes of the September 25, 2024, meeting with a correction reflection that Members McCarthy-Lange and Stewart were absent for the vote on Petition 24-22. With a voice vote of nine (9) ayes, the motion carried.

PETITIONS

Petition 24-26 Timothy A. Tremain

Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request.

The Petitioners would like a map amendment rezoning approximately three point six more or less (3.6 +/-) acres located on north side of River Road between 11327 and 11209 River Road from R-1 One Family Residential District to R-3 One Family Residential District in order to build two (2) houses at the property.

The property was rezoned in 2007 by Ordinance 2007-03 and is Lot 1 of the Glen Nelson Subdivision.

The property is less than ten (10) acres in size as is eligible for rezoning under Section 8:07.H of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance.

As of the date of this meeting, the Petitioner has not indicated if they will be dividing the land through a Plat Act Exemption or if they will be pursuing a re-subdivision of the property.

The application materials and zoning plat were provided.

The property is approximately three point six (3.6) acres in size.

The County's Future Land Use Map calls for the property to be Rural Residential. The United City of Yorkville's Future Land Use Map calls for the property to be Estate/Conservation Residential.

River Road is a Township maintained Minor Collector.

The zoning plat shows a fifteen foot (15') trail easement along the southern portion of the property.

KCRPC Meeting Minutes 10.23.24

There are no floodplains or wetlands on the property.

The adjacent land uses are Agricultural, Wooded, Single-Family Residential, and a Private Road.

The adjacent properties are zoned A-1, R-1, and R-3.

The County's Future Land Use Map calls for the area to Rural Residential. The United City of Yorkville's Future Land Use Map calls for the area to be Estate/Conservation Residential.

Properties within one half (1/2) mile are zoned A-1, A-1 SU, and R-3 in the County and Residential inside Yorkville south of the Fox River.

The A-1 special use permits to the east is for a campground (PNA Camp).

EcoCAT Report submitted and consultation was terminated; there were protected resources in the area, but adverse impacts were unlikely.

The application for NRI was submitted on August 20, 2024. The LESA Score was 141 indicating a low level of protection. The NRI was provided.

Petition information was sent to Bristol Township on August 23, 2024. Bristol Township had no concerns regarding the proposal. A letter from Bristol Township was provided.

Petition information was sent to the United City of Yorkville on August 23, 2024. The Yorkville Planning and Zoning Commission reviewed the proposal at their meeting on October 9, 2024, and recommended favorably of the proposal. An email to that effect was provided. The proposal went to the Yorkville City Council on October 22, 2024, and the Yorkville City Council issued a positive recommendation.

Petition information was sent to the Bristol-Kendall Fire Protection District on August 23, 2024. No comments received.

ZPAC reviewed the proposal at their meeting on September 3, 2024. The Petitioner's Attorney provided a history of the subdivision and the Petitioner's plan to build houses on the subject property. Any new houses would use the existing private road to access River Road; there would be no new cuts on River Road. Discussion occurred regarding the Estate/Conservation Residential classification in Yorkville's plan; this designation was placed on properties where Yorkville had not conducted a large amount of analysis of future land uses. Discussion also occurred regarding the trail easement. The earliest the Petitioner would construct houses would be 2025. ZPAC recommended approval of the proposal by a vote of seven (7) in favor and zero (0) in opposition with three (3) members absent. The minutes of the meeting were provided.

The Petitioner would like to rezone the property in order to build a maximum of two (2) houses on the property.

The site is currently vacant. Any future buildings would have to meet applicable building codes.

No utility information was provided.

The property fronts Glen Nelson Drive, which is a private road. The zoning plat noted that the property cannot access River Road.

Any parking would be for residential purposes.

Based on the proposed uses, no new odors were foreseen.

Lighting would be for residential purposes and would have to follow applicable ordinances.

Landscaping would be for residential uses.

Signage would be for residential purposes and would have to meet applicable regulations.

The owners of the property would have to follow applicable noise control regulations based on residential uses.

Stormwater control would be evaluated as part of the building permit.

The proposed Findings of Fact were as follows:

Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question. The surrounding properties are used for agricultural purposes and single-family residential purposes.

The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question. The surrounding properties are zoned A-1, R-1, and R-3. In particular, the properties immediate south of the subject property are zoned R-3.

The suitability of the property in question for the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification. One (1) single-family home could be built on the subject property under the present R-1 zoning classification. If a property owner wanted to construct additional homes, a map amendment to a zoning district that allows for small lots, such as the R-3 zoning classification, would be needed.

The trend of development, if any, in the general area of the property in question, including changes, if any, which may have taken place since the day the property in question was in its present zoning classification. The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend the adoption of a proposed amendment unless it finds that the adoption of such an amendment is in the public interest and is not solely for the interest of the applicant. The Zoning Board of Appeals may recommend the adoption of an amendment changing the zoning classification of the property in question to any higher classification than that requested by the applicant. For the purpose of this paragraph the R-1 District shall be considered the highest classification and the M-2 District shall be considered the lowest classification. The trend of development in the area is a mix of agricultural and single-family residential.

Consistency with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. The subject property is classified as Rural Residential on the Future Land Use Map and the R-3 Zoning District is consistent with this land classification.

Staff recommended approval of the proposed map amendment.

Member Rodriguez asked about driveway access to the property. Mr. Asselmeier responded that the properties would connect their driveways to Glen Nelson Drive; the properties would not have direct access to River Road for their driveways.

Discussion occurred regarding ownership of Glen Nelson Drive. Dan Kramer, Attorney for the Petitioner, explained that the lot owners share responsibilities related to Glen Nelson Drive.

Mr. Kramer explained that the Petitioner has not yet designed their house.

Mr. Kramer said prospective buyers want smaller lots because of less lawn maintenance.

Member Wilson made a motion, seconded by Member McCarthy-Lange, to recommend approval of the requested map amendment.

The votes were as follows:

Ayes (9): Ashton, Bernacki, Casey, Hamman, Landovitz, McCarthy-Lange, Rodriguez, Wilson, and

Wormley

Nays (0): None Absent (1): Stewart Abstain (0): None

The motion carried.

The proposal goes to the Kendall County Zoning Board of Appeals on October 28, 2024.

<u>Petition 24-28 Peter J. and Laurie Jo Pasteris on Behalf of the Peter J. Pasteris, Jr. Revocable Declaration of Living Trust</u>

Mr. Asselmeier summarized the request.

On April 21, 2015, the County Board approved Ordinance 2015-06, granting a special use permit for a banquet facility at 1998 Johnson Road. The special use permit contained the following conditions and restrictions:

- 1. The facility was to be operated by a description and site plan attached to the ordinance.
- 2. The principal use of the property is for residential purposes and/or farming.
- 3. A maximum of two hundred (200) persons at any one time (with a 10% tolerance).
- 4. All events must be catered unless approved by the Health Department.
- 5. Compliance with applicable building codes and Americans with Disabilities Act accessibility provisions and securing required permits associated with any proposed remodeling, alteration, construction or expansion of existing and structures on the premises.
- 6. Retail sales are permitted as long as the retail sales will be ancillary to the main operations.
- 7. The noise regulations are as follows:

Day Hours: No person shall cause or allow the emission of sound during daytime hours (7:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M.) from any noise source to any receiving residential land which exceeds sixty (60) dBA when measured at any point within such receiving residential land, provided; however, that point of measurement shall be on the property line of the complainant.

Night Hours: No person shall cause or allow the emission of sound during nighttime hours (10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.) from any noise source to any receiving residential land which exceeds fifty-five (55) dBA when measured at any point within such receiving residential land provided; however, that point of measurement shall be on the property line of the complainant.

EXEMPTION: Powered Equipment: Powered equipment, such as lawn mowers, small lawn and garden tools, riding tractors, and snow removal equipment which is necessary for the maintenance of property is

exempted from the noise regulations between the hours of seven o'clock (7:00) A.M. and ten o'clock (10:00) P.M.

- 8. Porta Johns (and other temporary bathroom facilities need to be removed within 2 business days after each event.
- 9. Events can run from May 1st through November 15th and the temporary tent can be erect from May 1st through November 15th.
- 10. Entities having jurisdiction may inspect the property annually including, but not limited to the Planning, Building and Zoning Department, Health Department, Sheriff's Office, and Fire Protection District in order to ensure the conditions of the special use permit are still being met and the permit is still applicable for the operation.

A copy of Ordinance 2015-06 was provided.

In 2019, a minor amendment to the special use permit was approved allowing the bathroom trailer and tent to be set up starting April 15th. Minor amendments were also approved in 2020, 2021, and 2022 allowing the bathroom trailer and tent to be set up from April 8th to November 30th for the next operating season.

The Petitioners submitted the following amendments to the special use permit which were revised at the October 9, 2024, ZPAC meeting:

- 1. Increase the capacity of people to three hundred (300) with a ten percent (10%) tolerance for a maximum capacity of three hundred thirty (330) people. The wait staff would not be included in these numbers (Amended at ZPAC).
- 2. Replace the existing tent with a permanent building that is approximately one hundred twenty-eight feet by sixty-four feet (128' X 64') in substantially the location shown on the site plan.
- 3. Install permanent restrooms in the facility with a septic permit from the Kendall County Health Department replacing the mobile trailer restroom.
- 4. Have events year-round.
- 5. Add the property identified by parcel identification number 06-10-200-001 to the special use permit.
- 6. Add the ability to add a business sign.

To date, the renderings of the building have not been finalized, but the maximum height of the building at its tallest point will be approximately thirty-five feet (35') with taller spires. No maximum height restriction is proposed for the special use permit.

No other changes to the site or business operations were proposed.

The application materials and proposed site plan were provided.

The lot size will be approximately fourteen (14) acres following the addition of the parcel to the west of the original special use permit.

The Future Land Use Map calls for this property to be Suburban Residential. Plainfield's Future Land Use Map calls for this property to be Countryside Residential.

Johnson Road is a Township Road classified as a Minor Collector.

Plainfield has a trail planned along Johnson Road.

There were no floodplains or wetlands on the property.

The adjacent land uses are Single-Family Residential and Agricultural.

The adjacent properties are zoned A-1 and R-2.

The County's Future Land Use Map calls for the area to be Suburban Residential and Rural Residential. Plainfield Future Land Use Map calls for the area to be Countryside Residential.

Properties within one half (1/2) mile are zoned A-1, A-1 SU, and R-2 in the County and R-1 PUD and Industrial inside Plainfield.

The A-1 SU to the west is for a seasonal festival.

EcoCat submitted on September 13, 2024, and consultation was terminated.

The NRI application was submitted as on September 16, 2024. To date, the NRI was not completed, but the preliminary LESA Score was 190 indicating a low level of protection.

Na-Au-Say Township was emailed information on September 23, 2024. The Na-Au-Say Township Board reviewed this proposal at their meeting on October 21, 2024, and Mr. Asselmeier read an email from Na-Au-Say Township Supervisor Brad Blocker stating that the Township had some questions about the proposal, but the Township Board approved a favorable recommendation.

The Plainfield Fire Protection District was emailed information on September 23, 2024. Prior to application submittal, the Plainfield Fire Protection District submitted an email outlining the District's sprinkler and alarm requirements. This email was provided.

The Village of Plainfield was emailed information on September 23, 2024. No comments received.

ZPAC reviewed the proposal at their meeting on October 9, 2024. Discussion occurred regarding maximum building height; no restriction would be placed in the special use permit regarding building height. Discussion also occurred regarding the location of the septic system; it would be away from the horse pasture. Discussion occurred regarding a movable sign; the Petitioners agreed to supply information about the sign and that information would be included in the special use permit. To date, no information has been submitted regarding the sign. The wait staff would not be included in the capacity count. ZPAC recommended approval of the proposal with the conditions proposed by Staff, adding the ten percent (10%) tolerance to the capacity, and excluding wait staff from the capacity county by a vote of seven (7) in favor, zero (0) in opposition, and three (3) members absent. The minutes of the meeting were provided.

The proposed Findings of Fact were as follows:

That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. The original special use permit was established in 2015. The only complaints that were submitted since the establishment of the special use permit were noise related complaints and those complaints were addressed. The proposal still requires buildings to obtain applicable permits and the site may be subject to periodic inspections to confirm compliance with the special use permit. A Health Department approved septic system to replace temporary restroom facilities is proposed and the septic system would be better for public health than the temporary trailers.

That the special use will not be substantially injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood. The Zoning classification of property within the general area of the property in question shall be considered in determining consistency with this standard. The proposed use shall make adequate provisions for appropriate buffers, landscaping, fencing, lighting, building materials, open space and other improvements necessary to insure that the proposed use does not adversely impact adjacent uses and is compatible with the surrounding area and/or the County as a whole. The proposed amendments should not impact neighboring property owners. Restrictions are already in place regarding noise and public safety.

That adequate utilities, access roads and points of ingress and egress, drainage, and/or other necessary facilities have been or are being provided. No changes to the already approved ingress/egress or drainage are proposed. Utilities, other than the installation of a septic system approved by the County, shall remain unchanged.

That the special use shall in all other respects conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may in each instance be modified by the County Board pursuant to the recommendation of the Zoning Board of Appeals. This is true.

That the special use is consistent with the purpose and objectives of the Land Resource Management Plan and other adopted County or municipal plans and policies. True, the proposed use is consistent with an objective found on Page 10-21 of the Kendall County Land Resource Management Plan which calls for "a strong base of agricultural, commerce and industry that provides a broad range of job opportunities, a healthy tax base, and improved quality of services to County residents."

Staff recommended approval of the requested amendments to the existing special use permit for a banquet facility subject to the following conditions and restrictions:

- 1. The Description and Site Plan attached to Ordinance 2015-06 are amended to incorporate the site plan attached hereto as Exhibit A. Further, if a conflict exists between the Description and Site Plan attached to Ordinance 2015-06 and the site plan attached hereto as Exhibit A, the site plan attached hereto as Exhibit A shall take precedent.
- 2. Condition 2 of Ordinance 2015-06 is hereby repealed in its entirety and is replaced with the following:
 - "A maximum of three hundred (300) persons with a ten percent (10%) tolerance at any one (1) time. Wait staff shall not be included in the capacity count." (Amended at ZPAC)
- 3. Condition 7 of Ordinance 2015-06 is hereby repealed in its entirety.
- 4. Condition 8 of Ordinance 2015-06 is hereby repealed in its entirety and is replaced with the following: "Events may be held year-round."

- 5. No signs are shown on the site plan. The owner of the business allowed by the special use permit may request a sign in the future using the minor amendment process, provided that the proposed sign meets the requirements of the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance.
- 6. The remaining conditions and restrictions contained in Ordinance 2015-06 shall remain valid and effective.
- 7. Failure to comply with one or more of the above conditions or restrictions could result in the amendment or revocation of the special use permit.
- 8. If one or more of the above conditions or restrictions is declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining conditions shall remain valid.
- 9. These major amendments to an existing special use permit shall be treated as covenants running with the land and are binding on the successors, heirs, and assigns as to the same special uses conducted on the property.

Dan Kramer, Attorney for the Petitioner, explained the history of the farm and business. The Petitioner rarely has requests for events with over three hundred (300) attendees. The Petitioner also wants to be able to have events year-round. The majority of events are Friday and Saturday nights, with occasional Sunday events. Disc jockeys have to plug into the Petitioner's sound system; this procedure is done to control noise. Many customers will get buses or carpool to the subject property for events which reduces the amount of traffic on nearby roads. Mr. Kramer also discussed Na-Au-Say Township being a dry township.

Member Wilson said the proposed building would curtail noise.

Member Wilson asked about the sprinkling requirements. Mr. Kramer said yes and explained the process related to fire suppression.

Member Wilson also favored having real bathrooms at the property. Discussion occurred regarding the bathroom trailers.

Member Hamman said that he attended an event at the property. He felt the venue was well run.

Mr. Kramer explained the steeples proposed for the building. Mr. Pasteris explained the design of the proposed barn, based on a barn from Lexington, Kentucky. The building will be steel with a concrete foundation with shiplap wood inside to absorb sound.

Mr. Kramer and Mr. Pasteris explained the proposed sign and the location of the proposed sign. The sign will be in the hay field setback from the road.

Member Landovitz requested clarification regarding noise complaints. Mr. Kramer said that one (1) individual was opposed to the special use permit and called in a couple noise complaints that were unfounded. This individual has moved. Mr. Pasteris said that disc jockeys have to plug into his sound system and drives around in golf cart with a decimeter to check for noise levels.

Member Landovitz asked about hours of operation. Mr. Pasteris said access to the property starts at 8:00 a.m. Events start at 4:00 p.m., except on Fridays when they start at 5:00 p.m. No song starts after 11:00 p.m. and guests are typically gone by 11:30 p.m. On Sundays, events are finished by 10:00 p.m.

Member Landovitz asked about parking given the request for an increase in capacity. Mr. Pasteris explained the parking situation and expressed no concerns regarding the existing parking area to handle the increase in numbers of attendees at events.

Member Landovitz asked about the septic system. Mr. Kramer explained the septic permit process and the location of the septic field. Mr. Pasteris explained the location of the septic tank and the firm that will do the work.

Member Wilson asked what the backup plan was if the Health Department does not grant a capacity of three hundred (300) with the septic system. Mr. Pasteris said the building was designed for more than three hundred (300) people. He did not foresee any problems related to the well, septic system, and water tank for fire suppression. The property does not have a full kitchen. Member Wormley noted that a commercial sized well would be necessary if events reached a certain threshold of attendees. The proposed special use permit amendment allows the Petitioner to have larger events, but the well and septic system will ultimately dictate how many people can be on the property.

Mr. Kramer explained the qualifications of local caterers.

Member Wilson made a motion, seconded by Member Bernacki, to recommend approval of the major amendment to the special use permit with the conditions proposed by Staff.

The votes were as follows:

Ayes (9): Ashton, Bernacki, Casey, Hamman, Landovitz, McCarthy-Lange, Rodriguez, Wilson, and

Wormley

Nays (0): None Absent (1): Stewart Abstain (0): None

The motion carried.

The proposal goes to the Kendall County Zoning Board of Appeals on October 28, 2024.

Discussion occurred regarding making townships wet. Chairman Ashton explained how Lisbon and Seward Townships became wet. Discussion occurred regarding cannabis regulations.

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD/PUBLIC COMMENT

None

NEW BUSINESS

None

OLD BUSINESS

<u>Discussion of Amendments to the Kendall County Zoning Ordinance Pertaining to Obstructions and Parking in Required Setbacks; Commission Could Initiate Text Amendments</u>

Mr. Asselmeier summarized the issues.

At their meeting on September 25, 2024, the consensus of the Kendall County Regional Planning Commission was to allow parking in the interior seventy-five feet (75'), if there was no ROW dedication, and the interior fifty feet (50'), if the ROW was dedicated, whichever was greater on properties zoned A-1.

Staff was unsure if the Commission wanted to change the parking requirements on any of the B or M zoned properties.

Member Landovitz asked about the different setbacks in the B Districts. Mr. Asselmeier explained the uses in the B Districts.

In the B districts, Petitioners could pursue variances.

Scenic routes would not be a consideration for parking setbacks.

The consensus of the Commission was that the amendment apply to A-1 zoned properties only.

The proposal goes to ZPAC on November 5, 2024.

REVIEW OF PETITIONS THAT WENT TO COUNTY BOARD

Mr. Asselmeier reported that Petition 24-14 was rejected by the County Board. Petitions 24-21 and 24-22 were approved by the County Board.

Member Wilson asked what the votes were for Petition 24-14. Mr. Asselmeier responded that two (2) votes occurred. The first vote was to table the proposal with three (3) County Board Members (Flowers, Koukol, and Shanley) voting for the proposal. The second vote was to approve the proposal and two (2) County Board Members (Flowers and Shanley) voted for the proposal. One (1) County Board Member was absent.

Member Wormley discussed the process of advancing the proposal to the County Board. He noted poor communication with the Petitioner. He discussed the vote at the Regional Planning Commission. He encouraged Commissioners to go on record when they cast votes if a Commissioner has concerns. Member Wormley favored working with Seward Township instead of voting down the proposal.

Member Bernacki discussed the history of the project and the pre-approval the township level.

It was noted that funding for updating the Land Resource Management Plan was approved in upcoming budgets, which had not occurred when the proposal started the adoption process.

Member Wilson discussed lack of transparency at Seward Township. She favored having Commissioners offer reasons for having negative votes and positive votes as well.

Member Rodriguez noted that the Mayor of Shorewood attended and spoke at the County Board meeting regarding the Seward Township proposal. Member Wormley noted the developments in Shorewood's area and the confusion that would be created if the County did an update that was different than the approved plan in the near future. All concerns, including protecting the watershed, need to be discussed during the review process.

Member Wilson discussed Seward Township's finances. Seward Township has accumulated a lot of money. She favored having townships submit a full disclosure of their finances when they come to the County asking for money.

Chairman Ashton discussed the finances of the Multi-Township Assessment District and lack of assistance to Lisbon Township to purchase furniture for the Multi-Township's use.

OTHER BUSINESS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr. Asselmeier reported that the application deadline for the December meeting is in the middle of November. Presently, there is a request for a special use permit for a commercial solar facility on Ament Road, text KCRPC Meeting Minutes 10.23.24

Page 10 of 11

amendments related to road weight limits, window sign enforcement regulations, add parks to the list of permitted uses in the R-4, R-5, R-6, and R-7 zoning districts, changing the setback of pipelines from house, and the parking setback for A-1 zoned properties.

ADJOURNMENT

Member Wilson made a motion, seconded by Member Hamman, to adjourn. With a voice vote of nine (9) ayes, the motion carried.

The Kendall County Regional Planning Commission meeting adjourned at 8:27 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by, Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP, CFM, Director

Enc.



KENDALL COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION OCTOBER 23, 2024

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE CONTACTED ON FUTURE MEETINGS REGARDING THIS TOPIC, PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR ADDRESS OR EMAIL ADDRESS

NAME	ADDRESS (OPTIONAL)	email address (optional)
Don Kram		
		^

Matt Asselmeier

From:

Krysti Barksdale-Noble <knoble@yorkville.il.us>

Sent:

Tuesday, October 22, 2024 8:22 PM

To: Cc: Matt Asselmeier Sara Mendez

Subject:

RE: [External]RE: Kendall County Petition 24-27

Matt,

Positive recommendation for both Petitions 24-26 and 24-27 from the City Council.

Best Regards,

Krysti J. Barksdale-Noble, AICP

(she/her)
Community Development Director
United City of Yorkville
651 Prairie Pointe Drive
Yorkville, Illinois 60560
(630) 553-8573
(630) 742-7808
www.yorkville.il.us

From: Matt Asselmeier < masselmeier@kendallcountyil.gov>

Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2024 8:34 AM

To: Krysti Barksdale-Noble <knoble@yorkville.il.us>

Cc: Sara Mendez <smendez@yorkville.il.us>

Subject: RE: [External]RE: Kendall County Petition 24-27

Thanks,

Matthew H. Asselmeier, AICP, CFM
Director
Kendall County Planning, Building & Zoning
111 West Fox Street
Yorkville, IL 60560-1498

PH: 630-553-4139 Fax: 630-553-4179

From: Krysti Barksdale-Noble < knoble @yorkville.il.us>

Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2024 8:28 AM

To: Matt Asselmeier < masselmeier@kendallcountyil.gov>

Cc: Sara Mendez <smendez@yorkville.il.us>

Subject: [External]RE: Kendall County Petition 24-27

CAUTION - This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: Na-Au-Say Township <naausaysupervisor@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 22, 2024 10:11 AM

To: Brad Blocker <bblocker@currancontracting.com>

Cc: Real estate < ; r_wheeler03@hotmail.com; naausayroad@hughes.net; Daniel J.

Kramer <

Subject: Re: Pasteris Special Use Amendment

Matt,

The Township met on this Petition at our meeting last night. There was some questions and after discussions there was a motion to give a favorable recommendation for amendment to the special use. Vote was taken and motion passed.

Please feel free to contact me if any questions.

On Mon, Oct 7, 2024 at 3:21 PM Brad Blocker

bblocker@currancontracting.com> wrote: